

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/604,066	06/25/2003	Renatus Ignatius Fransen	04132.0017.00US00 1065		
32894 7	590 03/23/2004	•	EXAMINER		
	MON ARNOLD & \	SHAW, ELIZABETH ANNE			
CITYPOINT ONE ROPEMA	AKER STREET	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
, -	EC2Y 9HS	3644			
UNITED KING	3DOM	DATE MAILED: 03/23/2004			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		<u></u>				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/604,066	FRANSEN, RENATUS IGNATIUS			
Office Action	Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Elizabeth A. Shaw	3644			
The MAILING DAT Period for Reply	E of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress		
A SHORTENED STATUTHE MAILING DATE OF Extensions of time may be availar after SIX (6) MONTHS from the r If the period for reply specified at If NO period for reply is specified Failure to reply within the set or e	THIS COMMUNICATION. ble under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 nailing date of this communication. sove is less than thirty (30) days, a reply above, the maximum statutory period watended period for reply will, by statute, ater than three months after the mailing	IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE date of this communication, even if timely filed	nely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1) Responsive to com	munication(s) filed on 25 Ju	ine 2003.				
2a) This action is FINA		action is non-final.				
, —	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4a) Of the above classified (a) 5)	Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-18 and 23-25</u> is/are rejected.					
Application Papers						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed Applicant may not red	quest that any objection to the	r. epted or b)⊡ objected to by the I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).	FR 1.121(d).		
11)☐ The oath or declara	tion is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PT	O-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19					
a) All b) Some 1. Certified cop 2. Certified cop 3. Copies of the application fr	* c) None of: ies of the priority documents ies of the priority documents e certified copies of the prior om the International Bureau	s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive	on No ed in this National	Stage		
Attachment(s)						
 Notice of References Cited (P Notice of Draftsperson's Pater Information Disclosure Staten Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/25/03 	nt Drawing Review (PTO-948) nent(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate)-152)		

DETAILED ACTION

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

Claims 1-18 and 23-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by van den Berg (US 2003/0101939). Van den Berg shows a milking parlor 1 comprising a base 3 on which the animal to be milked stands, a milking robot 2 and an automatic cleaning device 4 for cleaning an exterior of at least part of the milking parlor 1, the cleaning device 4 having a source of cleaning fluid. It is considered that since a variety of cleaning fluids are described, one of the cleaning fluids used can easily be a cleaning foam, see paragraph 0034. A nozzle 8 and pump 24 place the cleaning fluid under pressure. The nozzles 17, 20 and 21 can be either in a fixed position or movably disposed relative to the milking parlor, see paragraphs 0038 - 0041. The cleaning device 4 also having a contents-measuring device with an indication signal, paragraph 0037. The milking parlor 1 having a presence detecting device 25 for detecting the presence on an animal in the milking parlor 1 and the parlor also having a cleanliness detecting device 26, see paragraphs 0044 and 0045. The milking parlor 1 having a closing device 27 for closing the entrance while the cleaning device 4 is in operation. The milking parlor 1 also having a rinsing device which flows the use of the cleaning device 4. Since a signal is sent by the presence detecting device 25 to stop the cleaning of the milking parlor 1, it is considered that there is a cleaning starting element Application/Control Number: 10/604,066

Art Unit: 3644

for beginning the cleaning. A computer for storing data in memory and for controlling the beginning and ending of the cleaning in relation to the visits of the animals and identification of the animals is used, see the last lines of paragraph 0048.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-5, 11, 13, 24 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over van der Lely et al (5,195,455). Van der Lely et al show a milking parlor having a base 17 on which the animal to be milked can stand, a milking robot 26 and an automatic cleaning device 22 for cleaning the exterior of at least part of the milking parlor with nozzles (unnumbered). A presence detecting device/animal identification device 14 is used to control the cleaning process. The parlor having a closing element 12 for closing the entrance to the parlor. With respect to claim 1, to use a cleaning foam in the cleaning device of van der Lely et al would have been obvious to one skilled in the art as a replacement of functional equivalents. With respect to claims 3 and 4, the cleaning fluid of van der Lely et al is said to be sprayed on the animal and the adjacent areas, this implies that the fluid is under pressure by the use of a pump.

Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over van der Lely et al in view of van den Berg. Van der Lely et al do not teach the use of additives

Art Unit: 3644

to the cleaning fluid. With respect to claim 15, to use the addition of additives as shown by van den Berg, see paragraph 0048, to the cleaning fluid of van der Lely et al would have been obvious to one skilled in the art in order to more thoroughly and efficiently clean the area.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 19-22 and 26 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims, based on the van den Berg patent.

Claims 6-10, 12, 14, 16-23 and 26 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims, based on the van der Lely et al patent.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Included for further reference on cleaning devices are: Icking et al (4,702,197), van den Berg et al (6,276,297), Nilsson (6,431,116) and Eppers (6,481,371).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Elizabeth A. Shaw whose telephone number is 703-308-1853. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 9:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Charles Jordan can be reached on 703-306-4159. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

CHARLES T. JORDAN

Elizabeth A. Shaw

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER Art Unit 3644

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

March 19, 2004